Capital Region Housing Collaborative

September 27, 2022 Board Meeting

Zoom

Agenda: * (asterisk) indicates a vote is required.

A. Call Meeting to Order

B. Consent Agenda
   a. August 2022 meeting minutes

C. Chair Report, Rawley Van Fossen
   a. FY23 Board Election Results
      i. FY23 Board Officers
         1. Treasurer & Secretary (2-year)

D. Committee & Ad Hoc Workgroup updates
   a. Executive Committee
      i. Transition Update, S&D Consultants
         1. HMIS
         2. MSHDA Fiduciary
         3. HUD Collaborative Applicant
            a. *Approval of FY24 NOFO.
            b. *Approval of Transitions PSH grant to be submitted as a reallocation project.
         4. City of Lansing ESG
            a. *Budget Amendments

   b. Shelter Committee

   c. Network Committee
      i. October 2022: MDHHS and EVE
      ii. November 2022: CEI – CMH

   d. CQI Committee

   e. Strategic Planning Committee
      i. Currently working on transition items.

   f. Membership Committee
      i. Membership recruitment event.

   g. By Names/Coordinated Entry System Committee Ad Hoc

   h. Diversity Equity/MICAH Ad Hoc

   i. Veterans Committee

E. Old & New Business
   a. Street Outreach Update
   b. HARA Update
   c. Covid Emergency Rent Assistance ("CERA") Update

F. Other Announcements
   a. MICAH "Together Towards Tomorrow" virtual summit
      i. https://amr.swoogo.com/summit2022/home

b. HUD Exchange Update: Monkeypox
    i. https://www.hudexchange.info/homelessness-assistance/diseases/?utm_source=HUD+Exchange+Mailing+List&utm_campaign=ceadccbef8-MPX_Resources_Available_9_23&utm_medium=email&utm_term=0_f32b935a5f-ceadccbef8-19790564

G. Adjourn

Capital Region Housing Collaborative
August 23, 2022 Board Meeting
Zoom

PRESENT: Rawley Van Fossen, Sharon Dade, Susan Cancro, Maureen Nagy, Mark Criss, Gabriel Biber, Jennifer McMahon, Nickie Perera, Nancy Oliver, Rich Howard, Brooke Hall, Barb Kimmel, Caroline Croom, Su'Alyn Holbrook.

ABSENT: Rose Taphouse, Lucretia Stevenson.

CALL TO ORDER: The meeting was called to order at 9:04 am.

ACTION ITEMS & ROUTINE MATTERS: Biber moved to approve the consent agenda as presented. Howard seconded. All in favor.

CHAIR REPORT: Van Fossen shared that Meaghan Redd is moving on from the coordinator position and honored her over four years of service to this group. Van Fossen also shared that a FY23 budget draft is being developed for the October board meeting. That budget will give a better idea of how much funding is available for a future Coordinator position. Howard and Hall agreed to assist with an exit interview with Meaghan. Van Fossen will check the CRHC GMAIL account every couple of days. Criss will take care of the checks and deposits for dues.

SHELTER COMMITTEE: Nancy Oliver has volunteered to chair the committee. Shelter committee plans to review the cold weather policy before the end of the calendar year.

NETWORK COMMITTEE: Perera shared that September 2022 is the CRHC annual meeting where FY23 board of director elections will take place. It was also shared that the City of Lansing will present on HOME-ARP funding opportunity through HUD.

CQI COMMITTEE: Van Fossen shared that this committee is in need of a Committee Chair, and that chair positions are supposed to be held by a member of the Board of Directors.

STRATEGIC PLANNING COMMITTEE: Biber shared that this committee is currently yielded their meeting times for the more immediate transition items to occur (MSHDA ESG grant and HUD NOFO).

MEMBERSHIP COMMITTEE: Howard shared there will be a membership breakfast drive event on Friday September 16th, 2022 at the Armory building on Marshall Street in Lansing. Board members were encouraged to attend and bring a potential member.

BY NAMES/COORDINATED ENTRY AD HOC COMMITTEE: Nagy and Hall shared that our CoC is going to received technical assistance from HUD. The TA consultant will assist with the development of a Coordinated Entry system and then By Names list. They shared that CRHC is approved for 32 hours and could request an extension of hours.

DIVERSITY/EQUITY MICAH AD HOC COMMITTEE: McMahon shared that MICAH has hosted multiple meetings. Participants are going through a learning series and taking steps to move forward and reduce racial disparity in our community. She further shared that MICAH is behind schedule but moving forward. A challenge that they are facing, is doing focus groups. Seeking additional avenues to access the population she is seeking. Witherspoon asked if they are working with the City of Lansing. The current action is driven from MICAH, not City of Lansing.

VETERANS COMMITTEE: No update.

OLD BUSINESS: Van Fossen shared that S&D Consultants provided an transition update in the board packet. Dade asked for potential scorers for the HUD NOFO process. She emphasized the need for lived experience participation.

NEW BUSINESS: Kimmel and Witherspoon from the City of Lansing shared an update on upcoming funding from HUD (HOME-ARP).

ANNOUNCEMENTS: No announcements.

ADJOURN: Biber motioned to adjourn the meet at 10:29 am. Hall seconded. All in favor.

Respectfully Submitted,

Meaghan Redd

Before Starting the CoC Application

You must submit all three of the following parts in order for us to consider your Consolidated Application complete:

1. the CoC Application,
2. the CoC Priority Listing, and
3. all the CoC's project applications that were either approved and ranked or rejected.

As the Collaborative Applicant, you are responsible for reviewing the following:

1. The FY 2022 CoC Program Competition Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for specific application and program requirements.
2. The FY 2022 CoC Application Detailed Instructions which provide additional information and guidance for completing the application.
3. All information provided to ensure it is correct and current.
4. Responses provided by project applicants in their Project Applications.
5. The application to ensure all documentation, including attachment are provided.

Your CoC Must Approve the Consolidated Application before You Submit It

- 24 CFR 578.9 requires you to compile and submit the CoC Consolidated Application for the FY 2022 CoC Program Competition on behalf of your CoC.
- 24 CFR 578.9(b) requires you to obtain approval from your CoC before you submit the Consolidated Application into e-snaps.

Answering Multi-Part Narrative Questions

Many questions require you to address multiple elements in a single text box. Number your responses to correspond with multi-element questions using the same numbers in the question. This will help you organize your responses to ensure they are complete and help us to review and score your responses.

Attachments

Questions requiring attachments to receive points state, "You Must Upload an Attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen." Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed–including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process. Include a cover page with the attachment name.

- Attachments must match the questions they are associated with–if we do not award points for evidence you upload and associate with the wrong question, this is not a valid reason for you to appeal HUD's funding determination.
- We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time).

1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1A-1. CoC Name and Number:

1A-2. Collaborative Applicant Name:

1A-3. CoC Designation:

1A-4. HMIS Lead:

1B. Coordination and Engagement–Inclusive Structure and Participation

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1B-1.	**Inclusive Structure and Participation–Participation in Coordinated Entry.**	
	NOFO Sections VII.B.1.a.(1), VII.B.1.e., VII.B.1.p., and VII.B.1.r.	

	In the chart below for the period from May 1, 2021 to April 30, 2022:
1.	**select yes or no in the chart below if the entity listed participates in CoC meetings, voted–including selecting CoC Board members, and participated in your CoC's coordinated entry system; or**
2.	**select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist in your CoC's geographic area:**

	Organization/Person	Participated in CoC Meetings	Voted, Including Electing CoC Board Members	Participated in CoC's Coordinated Entry System
1.	Affordable Housing Developer(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
2.	Agencies serving survivors of human trafficking	Yes	Yes	Yes
3.	CDBG/HOME/ESG Entitlement Jurisdiction	Yes	Yes	Yes
4.	Disability Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
5.	Disability Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
6.	EMS/Crisis Response Team(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
7.	Homeless or Formerly Homeless Persons	Yes	Yes	Yes
8.	Hospital(s)	No	No	No
9.	Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)	Non-existent	Non-existent	Non-existent
10.	Law Enforcement	Yes	No	Yes
11.	Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender (LGBTQ+) Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
12.	LGBTQ+ Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
13.	Local Government Staff/Officials	Yes	Yes	Yes
14.	Local Jail(s)	No	No	No
15.	Mental Health Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
16.	Mental Illness Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes

17.	Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color	Yes	Yes	Yes
18.	Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons	Yes	Yes	Yes
19.	Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities	Yes	Yes	Yes
20.	Other homeless subpopulation advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
21.	Public Housing Authorities	Yes	Yes	Yes
22.	School Administrators/Homeless Liaisons	Yes	Yes	Yes
23.	State Domestic Violence Coalition	Yes	Yes	No
24.	State Sexual Assault Coalition	No	No	No
25.	Street Outreach Team(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
26.	Substance Abuse Advocates	No	No	No
27.	Substance Abuse Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
28.	Victim Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
29.	Domestic Violence Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
30.	Other Victim Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
31.	Youth Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
32.	Youth Homeless Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
33.	Youth Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Other: (limit 50 characters)			
34.				
35.				

You must select a response for elements 1 through 33 in question 1B-1.

1B-2.	Open Invitation for New Members.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.a.(2)	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC:
1.	communicated a transparent invitation process annually (e.g., communicated to the public on the CoC's website) to solicit new members to join the CoC;
2.	ensured effective communication with individuals with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats;
3.	invited organizations serving culturally specific communities experiencing homelessness in the geographic area to address equity (e.g., Black, Latino, Indigenous, LGBTQ+, and persons with disabilities).

(limit 2,500 characters) [2493 characters]

1-The CoC invites new members annually via the monthly Network meeting, which is our annual membership meeting, as well as through social media, public announcement in the free "City Pulse" local publication, an annual meeting celebrates accomplishments, invites new membership, and challenge the community in key areas of growth/improvements and events during Homeless Awareness month. Regular emails are sent to all constituents to share knowledge and encourage membership. The CoC by-laws mandate one consumer Board member. The CoC has used surveys to share knowledge and get input from people with lived experience, living in shelters. Interviews with local television, newspaper and local radio stations helps with promotion.
2-The CoC membership committee of the Board provides one-on-one introductions/orientation to new members and interested participants, providing TDD and other user-friendly formats. Translator service is also available. The CoC provides forms and documents online in accessible formats (PDFs, Microsoft Office) that are screen-reader friendly. Info is also made available via PDF to ensure accessibility, also hybrid video meetings are offered.

3-The CoC made a concerted effort to invite input and membership from:
-the Salus Center, founded in 2017 to provide a gathering place, serve and advocate for the

LGBTQIA+ communities.
-people with recent lived experience
-the Tri-County Office on Aging established to promote and preserve the independence and dignity of the aging
-City of Lansing's Racial Justice and Equity Alliance plan which addresses equity issues both in local government and in Ingham County.
-the CoC has committed members to participate in the CERT (CoC Equitable Results Teams) working with other CoCs across the state on a racial equity action plan to ensure equitable access throughout homelessness services, providers and systems of care.
-the Path Outreach Agency and the local encampment task force works closely with racially and economically diverse citizen advocacy groups for unsheltered persons.
-the CoC Network members reflect the diverse community at large and supported hotel sheltering for 200+ recent Afghan refugees to our community.
-the Collaborative Applicant (City) holds an annual Community Forum where people currently or formerly homelessness may participate in the community needs and gaps discussions. Agencies also help sponsor consumer representatives by inviting participants to join the CoC, providing coaching.

1B-3.	**CoC's Strategy to Solicit/Consider Opinions on Preventing and Ending Homelessness.**	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.a.(3)	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC:
1.	**solicited and considered opinions from a broad array of organizations and individuals that have knowledge of homelessness, or an interest in preventing and ending homelessness;**
2.	**communicated information during public meetings or other forums your CoC uses to solicit public information; and**
3.	**took into consideration information gathered in public meetings or forums to address improvements or new approaches to preventing and ending homelessness.**

(limit 2,500 characters) [2214 characters]

1-The Coc uses an array of methods to solicit and communicate knowledge about homelessness, preventing homelessness and ending homelessness including public meetings, emails, a public website, and social media.
Information is shared regularly about meetings, plans, progress, & performance on preventing & ending homelessness. Surveys, emails and in-person meetings solicit input for knowledge and information that then informs the CoC's Strategic Plan. The CoC responds to public inquiries with an online, informational packet for member interest. Weekly/monthly e-info is communicated to leaders, consumers, community members, local partners. Information and best practices are shared and solicited from other Michigan CoCs. The City of Lansing's mayor holds meetings with citizen groups and the faith community and includes CoC member's participation.
2-Input is solicited monthly from partners, providers, consumers, stakeholders & those with lived experience at Network meetings. The CoC Governing body holds open meetings with time for public comment & has board members with lived experience, in accordance with CoC policy. Multiple committees meet regularly to discuss strategies to prevent & end homelessness. A specific ad-hoc committee was implemented during the pandemic to solicit and communicate resources and information specific to preventing homelessness. Other committees meet monthly to review and recommend revisions to program planning, standardized implementation and CoC policies. The CoC Executive Board attends community, regional & State meetings that impact homelessness. The City of Lansing holds an annual Community Forum to solicit input on human service's needs.
3-Feedback from committees, forums, surveys, & consumer groups including youth advisory groups and consumers are reviewed and used to guide implementation, conduct gaps surveys, assess process improvements, and enhance data analysis. A new workgroup on prevention resources was formed to enhance communication, review policies, recognize/develop best practices and formulate local policy related to prevention services. This brought in partners, including Capital Area Community Services and Tri-County Office on Aging.

1B-4.	**Public Notification for Proposals from Organizations Not Previously Awarded CoC Program Funding.**	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.a.(4)	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC notified the public:
1.	**that your CoC will consider project applications from organizations that have not previously received CoC Program funding;**
2.	**about how project applicants must submit their project applications–the process;**
3.	**about how your CoC would determine which project applications it would submit to HUD for funding; and**
4.	**how your CoC effectively communicated with individuals with disabilities, including making information accessible in electronic formats.**

(limit 2,500 characters) [2448 characters]

1-The local NOFO competition announcement is sent to a group of 100+ email addresses. The competition announcement was also placed on the CoC and City of Lansing websites, shared at multiple public meetings and posted in a free regional community newspaper "The City Pulse" (published both in print and online). Any non-profit agency is encouraged to apply. The detailed announcement posted on the websites includes the type of renewal programs, new bonus funds available, identified community needs, an application schedule, pre-application meetings and eligible applicant information.
2-This year's announcements resulted in interest from potential first-time applicants attending the hybrid informational meeting designed to further explain CoC programs and the local application process. The CoC provided a virtual informational meeting and posted the presentation on the websites to help new applicants learn about CoC programs. The sessions covered HUD priorities, the CoC's priorities, the GIW, the NOFO document, how applications would be scored, and the local application process.
3-The NOFO announcement detailed the method for submitting project applications, including how to access application forms, where to send them, the application due date, as

well as other key dates applicants should be aware of. A complete timeline for the application process was provided and emailed to a wide audience, posted on the websites, discussed, and shared in multiple community meetings. The local application, scoring process and criteria was posted on the CoC and City of Lansing websites, sent via email with the NOFO announcement and communicated in the City Pulse newspaper. Non-applicant scorers were recruited and sent applications to review along with a scoring guide. An open ranking meeting of the CoC's Finance Committee reviews the scores and provides a recommendation to the CoC Board, which determines the applications and rankings submitted to HUD. Written notifications are sent to applicant agencies and results were posted on the websites. A post-application session is held to provide feedback to the CoC and potential applicants.

4- All information is accessible by electronic formats including hybrid informational sessions. Electronic presentations are sent to all interested parties and posted to the websites. An accessibility statement was included in the Announcement with a contact person for anyone needing assistance.

1C. Coordination and Engagement

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2022 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1C-1.	**Coordination with Federal, State, Local, Private, and Other Organizations.**	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.b.	

	In the chart below:
1.	**select yes or no for entities listed that are included in your CoC's coordination, planning, and operations of projects that serve individuals, families, unaccompanied youth, persons who are fleeing domestic violence who are experiencing homelessness, or those at risk of homelessness; or**
2.	**select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist within your CoC's geographic area.**

	Entities or Organizations Your CoC Coordinates with for Planning or Operations of Projects	Coordinates with the Planning or Operations of Projects?
1.	**Funding Collaboratives**	Yes
2.	**Head Start Program**	Yes
3.	**Housing and services programs funded through Local Government**	Yes
4.	**Housing and services programs funded through other Federal Resources (non-CoC)**	Yes
5.	**Housing and services programs funded through private entities, including Foundations**	Yes
6.	**Housing and services programs funded through State Government**	Yes
7.	**Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)**	Yes
8.	**Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ)**	Yes
9.	**Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA)**	No
10.	**Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)**	Nonexistent
11.	**Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color**	Yes
12.	**Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons**	Yes
13.	**Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities**	Yes
14.	**Private Foundations**	No
15.	**Public Housing Authorities**	Yes
16.	**Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY)**	Yes
17.	**Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)**	Yes
	Other:(limit 50 characters)	

18.		

You must select a response for elements 1 through 17 in question 1C-1.

1C-2.	**CoC Consultation with ESG Program Recipients.**	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.b.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC:
1.	**consulted with ESG Program recipients in planning and allocating ESG and ESG-CV funds;**
2.	**participated in evaluating and reporting performance of ESG Program recipients and subrecipients;**
3.	**provided Point-in-Time (PIT) count and Housing Inventory Count (HIC) data to the Consolidated Plan jurisdictions within its geographic area; and**
4.	**provided information to Consolidated Plan Jurisdictions within your CoC's geographic area so it could be addressed in Consolidated Plan update.**

(limit 2,500 characters) [1858 characters]

1- The CoC Collaborative Applicant (CA), HMIS Lead, and the ESG recipient were the same entity - City of Lansing (also fiduciary for State ESG). Two different City departments, HRCS and EDP, are part of the CoC who meet with ESG sub-recipients monthly to discuss strategy, issues, planning & fund allocation for ESG & other homeless funds. CoC Strategic planning for homeless includes -shelters, RRH, prevention, DV, substance use programs, youth shelter & outreach, many of which also are recipients of ESG funding.

2- Eligible ESG expenses are monitored monthly & evaluated by CA –City of Lansing and ESG outcomes are reported to the CoC Board for review, discussion, and acceptance. ESG subrecipients are required to coordinate with all CoC governance & practices. HMIS Lead shares performance data quarterly with the Continuous Quality Improvement Committee (CQI). The CQI analyzes data reported and communicates a monthly report to the CoC Board for review, discussion, and acceptance. ESG outcomes data helps inform allocation of funds to address critical needs and to review agency performance.

3- The City of Lansing has been both the Consolidated Plan jurisdiction and the HMIS Lead Agency for the CoC and information is routinely shared between departments for planning purposes. The HMIS Lead provides the PIT & HIC data to the EDP department for inclusion in the Consolidated Plan.

4- City of Lansing has been the CoC CA, HMIS Lead, and the ESG recipient. It has supported staffing to share information & monitor communication for the jurisdiction. Part of those communications is providing input on all Consolidated Plan processes as well as any disaster relief efforts. Community meetings are well attended to receive community input & disperse information regarding needs & planned projects. This helps to centralized & consolidate information.

1C-3.	**Ensuring Families are not Separated.**	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.c.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ensures emergency shelter, transitional housing, and permanent housing (PSH and RRH) do not deny admission or separate family members regardless of each family member's self-reported sexual orientation and gender identity:

1.	**Conducted mandatory training for all CoC- and ESG-funded service providers to ensure families are not separated.**	No
2.	**Conducted optional training for all CoC- and ESG-funded service providers to ensure families are not separated.**	No
3.	**Worked with ESG recipient(s) to adopt uniform anti-discrimination policies for all subrecipients.**	Yes
4.	**Worked with ESG recipient(s) to identify both CoC- and ESG-funded facilities within your CoC's geographic area that might be out of compliance and took steps to work directly with those facilities to bring them into compliance.**	No

5.	**Sought assistance from HUD by submitting AAQs or requesting technical assistance to resolve noncompliance of service providers.**	Yes
6.	**Other. (limit 150 characters)**	

You must select a response for elements 1 through 5 in question 1C-3.

1C-4.	**CoC Collaboration Related to Children and Youth–SEAs, LEAs, School Districts.**	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.d.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the entities your CoC collaborates with:

1.	**Youth Education Provider**	Yes
2.	**State Education Agency (SEA)**	No
3.	**Local Education Agency (LEA)**	Yes
4.	**School Districts**	Yes

You must select a response for elements 1 through 4 in question 1C-4.

1C-4a.	**Formal Partnerships with Youth Education Providers, SEAs, LEAs, School Districts.**	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.d.	

Describe in the field below the formal partnerships your CoC has with at least one of the entities where you responded yes in question 1C-4.

(limit 2,500 characters) **[2497 characters]**

The CoC has partnerships with youth education providers in the area, who participate as CoC members, vote for CoC Board leadership, and connect with CoC shelters, DV agencies, and coordinated entry processes, providing input, seeking housing resources, outreach services and arrange transportation and connection to mainstream resources. Members: Head Start & Early Head Start (Capital Area Community Services), Lansing School District, CFC Gateway Youth Shelter.

Formal partnerships include a permanent CoC Board seat for the primary McKinney-Vento liaison from Lansing School District, who has a decision- making role in all CoC policies.

1. Collaboration with program Families Overcoming Rough Times (FORT)- students in School District receive FREE-breakfast & lunch, transportation, school enrollment within 24 hours, clothing, uniforms (for Charter school), school supplies, tutoring, gym shoes, personal hygiene, field trip fees, cap & gowns for graduation, help with college apps.
2. LEA - Lansing School District, Rose Taphouse serves on CoC Board and serves as a regional representative for the SEA on homelessness. The local education agency liaison who serves on the CoC Board is a strong advocate for homeless families and interacts frequently with shelters and housing agencies to connect families to services. The LEA school liaisons provide innovative and supplementary services by obtaining foundation and education-funded grants to fill in services gaps, including housing “doubled-up” families who may not be able to access services prioritized for literally homeless families.
3. CoC works with SEA & LEA through Coordinated Entry. School Liaison who serves as CoC board member links homeless services with school families. She advocates and holds accountable CoC-funded agencies to secure services. She utilizes Prevention/RRH programs that serve homeless and at-risk families & alerts the CoC to her school programs & resources for homeless families. CoC-School liaison engaged in “doubled-up” families grant this year and secured priority for EHV vouchers. She also educates her school colleagues on homeless system.
4. CoC coordinates with all school districts in area, Lansing School District, East Lansing, Okemos, Haslett, Waverly, Mason & Leslie in outlying areas. Schools are aware of services available through Local Liaisons. Each year, CoC youth services agency, Child & Family Charities, contacts all liaisons & school counselors/social workers in area on behalf of CoC.

1C-4b.	**Informing Individuals and Families Experiencing Homelessness about Eligibility for Educational Services.**	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.d.	

Describe in the field below written policies and procedures your CoC adopted to inform individuals and families who become homeless of their eligibility for educational services.

(limit 2,500 characters) **[1457 characters]**

The CoC has adopted written policies that includes connecting participants to education services as a component of housing case management. Providing information and access points to community based vocational and education

training and supports is an integral part of the wholistic approach to case management, adopted by the CoC. The CoC's strong partnership with local school districts helps to address challenges for homeless and at-risk households with youth K-12 by providing additional resources for transportation, clothing, school supplies, computers, and internet access, and after school programming. While housing simultaneously helps to address absenteeism, truancy, within the schools' systems and health challenges for the entire household. Local CoC programs such as the 'Shared Housing Intervention Program' (SHIP) was initiated and designed between 3 local agencies (Advent House, Child and Family Charities and Housing Services Mid-Michigan) specifically to partner K-12 with housing case managers and resources. With a significant growth in unsheltered families following the pandemic, these partnerships are critical to ending homelessness.

The CoC has continued its historical linkages with Lansing Community College and other colleges and community-based education programs that provide vocational, certificate and GED programming. Post COVID, the CoC is making intentional efforts to re-capture those connections, which became virtually non-existent during the pandemic.

1C-4c.	Written/Formal Agreements or Partnerships with Early Childhood Services Providers.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.d.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC has written formal agreements or partnerships with the listed providers of early childhood services:

		MOU/MOA	Other Formal Agreement
1.	Birth to 3 years	No	Yes
2.	Child Care and Development Fund	No	Yes
3.	Early Childhood Providers	No	Yes
4.	Early Head Start	No	Yes
5.	Federal Home Visiting Program–(including Maternal, Infant and Early Childhood Home and Visiting or MIECHV)	No	No
6.	Head Start	No	Yes
7.	Healthy Start	No	Yes
8.	Public Pre-K	No	Yes
9.	Tribal Home Visiting Program	No	No
	Other (limit 150 characters)		
10.	Childhood providers attend CoC Network meetings and committees to network and share services information	No	Yes

You must select a response for elements 1 through 9 in question 1C-4c.

1C-5.	**Addressing Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors–Collaborating with Victim Service Providers.**	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC regularly collaborates with organizations who help provide housing and services to survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking to:
1.	**update CoC-wide policies; and**
2.	**ensure all housing and services provided in the CoC are trauma-informed and can meet the needs of survivors.**

(limit 2,500 characters) [1711 characters]

1. The CoC adopted written standards that provides guidance via policy and is aligned with the Final Rule: Violence against Women Act (VAWA). The CoC's policy specifies and defines a broad definition of domestic violence to include victims of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking. The CoC policy applies VAWA protections to all programs covered by the HUD or ESG within the CoC; ensuring participants and landlords are notified victims' rights under VAWA; ensures participants are informed of emergency transfer protocols and that rights to emergency transfers are included in leases.

2. The CoC provides six trainings during its monthly Network meetings, of which services to survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault and stalking is provided annually by the Ending Violent Encounters (EVE) our local DV services and shelter provider. The training is often provided during Domestic Abuse Awareness Month and attended CoC and ESG housing and shelter providers and any other interested parties through invitation by the Network chair. This training encompasses trauma informed care, safety, and other best practices with DV survivors and reaches more than 50 agencies annually with multiple staff in attendance. EVE also chairs a monthly community Elder Abuse Task force that has a large, active following, with frequent information-sharing on their email distribution list. An annual trauma informed care training is offered through the CoC to agencies and other interested persons. CoC agencies, in accordance with CoC policy, also provide additional training on internally related to housing 1st and integrating trauma focused approaches in services provided.

1C-5a.	**Annual Training on Safety and Best Practices to Address the Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.**	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC coordinates to provide training for:
1.	**project staff that addresses best practices (e.g., trauma-informed, victim-centered) on safety and planning protocols in serving survivors of domestic violence and indicate the frequency of the training in your response (e.g., monthly, semi-annually); and**
2.	**Coordinated Entry staff that addresses best practices (e.g., trauma informed care) on safety and planning protocols in serving survivors of domestic violence and indicate the frequency of the training in your response (e.g., monthly, semi-annually).**

(limit 2,500 characters) [1186 characters]

1. In accordance with the CoC policy, a CoC training schedule annually provides trauma informed, victim centered training on safety and planning protocols for serving survivors of domestic violence. The training also includes emergency transfer protocols and resources for survivors of domestic violence.

2. The CoC's Coordinated Entry policy and Written Standard address training standards and protocols that should be maintained by CoC and ESG agencies. Safety protocols serving survivors of domestic violence are acknowledged by agencies through CoC VAWA policies and protocols. Annually the CoC provides training in DV services and CoC VAWA policies and protocols. The CoC Strategic Planning and Continuous Quality Improvement (CQI) committees review all local homeless data, including DV data, on a semi-annual basis in response to issues that arise and in assessing the CoC's progress on our strategic goals. This data has also been reviewed in creating the next CoC Strategic Plan. The local EVE DV shelter provides CAPER reports annually to the CoC HMIS Lead as a recipient of City ESG funding overseen by the CoC. This de-identified aggregate data is reported to the CoC Board.

1C-5b.	Using De-identified Aggregate Data to Address the Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	

	Describe in the field below:
1.	the de-identified aggregate data source(s) your CoC uses for data on survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking; and
2.	how your CoC uses the de-identified aggregate data described in element 1 of this question to evaluate how to best meet the specialized needs related to domestic violence and homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters) [2367 characters]

1. DV data is stored and tracked in a separate data system. The data system, called Empower DB, is the only cloud-based database that provides zero-knowledge encryption, giving additional data protection against data breach and ensuring access to only the DV provider. EVE partners with the CoC to share aggregate data reporting as needed/requested by the CoC. Its data bases are comparable to the CoC HMIS system.

   Additionally, the community's Housing Assessment and Resource Agency (Capital Area Housing Partnership) provides assigned staff to the community's primary DV provider (EVE) that serves survivors in its shelter program. For safety reasons, the HARA staff meet the DV survivors on site at EVE DV shelter. HARA staff defer to EVE's expertise in safety/privacy issues and is dependent on participant's permission regarding information sharing. Because HARA staff are housing focused and use HMIS for data purposes, survivors decide how their information is stored and used, or have the right to refuse any sharing or to be entered as an "un-named record" or by a number, where identifying information is not recorded. They may also request removal of their record from the HMIS system at any time. All releases of information require informed consent and are time-limited and specific. HARA and EVE staff are trained in trauma-informed, survivor-centered services and sometimes share training resources.

2. The CoC reviews 27+ aggregate DV data reports on a semi-annual basis. The data analysis completed by the CoC Coordinator and Lead HMIS Agency in concert with the DV service providers, helps to identify service gaps and areas where services may be strengthened between the CoC and DV partnerships. For example, although 100% of leavers exiting DV shelter services moved into PH. 52% left for permanent placements with family; 36% moved into rental units and 18% moved into rental units with a subsidy. Additionally, 100% of the leavers were in shelter for 181-365 days. Reviewing this data and comparing it to the larger homeless population, especially the family population, shows that survivors are discharging successfully but much slower than the larger population of families and possibly with less connection to the CoC Coordinated Entry System. Leading us to strengthen the CES by creating stronger intentional CES coordination with DV services.

1C-5c.	Communicating Emergency Transfer Plan to Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC communicates to all individuals and families seeking or receiving CoC Program assistance:
1.	the emergency transfer plan policies and procedures; and
2.	the process for individuals and families to request an emergency transfer.

[1425 characters]

1. The CoC has developed a standardized notice for ESG and CoC programs entitled Notice of Occupancy Rights under the Violence Against Women Act. Each ESG or CoC program will provide the notice to all applicants and tenants participating in their housing programs. The 1-page notice
    - informs survivors of their protections as applicants and tenants
    - directs participants on how to request an emergency transfer under VAWA
    - informs them of their rights to confidentiality
    - informs survivors of their right and how to report non-compliance
2. In accordance with CoC policies and procedures, the survivor may request that the housing provider permit them to move to another unit, subject to availability, providing documentation to the housing provider that they are requesting to move because of an incidence of domestic violence, sexual assault, or stalking. If the request is a request for emergency transfer, the housing provider may ask the survivor to complete a statement certifying or documentation that they the survivor is
    - a victim of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking
    - the survivor is expressly requesting an emergency transfer
    - The survivor reasonably believes the are threatened with imminent harm from further violence if they remain in the current unit
    - Or the survivor is a victim of sexual assault which occurred on the premises in the 90-days prior to the request for a transfer.

1C-5d.	**Access to Housing for Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.**	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC ensures that survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking have access to all of the housing and services available within the CoC's geographic area.

(limit 2,500 characters) [912 characters]

The CoC has a housing workgroup that meets to discuss housing availability for PH projects. The HARA uses that information as they assist with assessing people for housing in the area shelters, including the DV shelter. Weekly, an appointed HARA staff person for DV, visits the shelter to assess survivors for resources, enroll them in HARA housing resources if there is availability, refer them to other housing resources, sign them up for the Housing Choice Voucher waitlist and provide housing leads. However, the greatest challenge is housing supply that will work with person with barriers. With Covid ESG CV funds, having the ability to provide landlord incentives proved useful for survivors with significant housing barriers. Because most of the survivor have households with children, Additionally, DV partners attend the CoC's monthly Network meeting to hear updates on housing resources, changes in accessing mainstream resources and training opportunities.

1C-5e.	Including Safety, Planning, and Confidentiality Protocols in Coordinated Entry to Address the Needs of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.e.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry includes:
1.	**safety protocols,**
2.	**planning protocols, and**
3.	**confidentiality protocols.**

(limit 2,500 characters) [2497 characters]

1. EVE, active CoC member, is the regional expert on DV planning, services, and coordination. EVE meets the regional DV shelters and service providers in the metro area. Every survivor encountered by EVE has a safety planning interviews that consider personal, family, workplace, and college (if applicable) safety planning. Safety planning sessions assess threat, danger, and lethality. Their trained staff assume survivors are the experts of their

circumstances and that the abuser is dangerous. Safety planning protocols include interviews with survivors to detail safety plan in case of a dangerous situation, identify safe friends and places of refuge, identify essential items in case of an emergent exit, education and information about local resources and legal rights, and an understanding of what the survivor is already doing to survive, as well as a better understanding of the abusers' patterns of violence.

2. EVE coordinates planning with regional Law enforcement agencies, police social workers, CoC agencies/partnerships, and DV providers. EVE participates in the 'Law Enforcement Coordinating Council, the Michigan Coalition to End Domestic and Sexual Violence (Statewide DV coalition), the Capital area Domestic Violence Coordinating Council (Regional Tri-County), and EVE assumes administrative responsibility and facilitates the monthly Capital Area Sexual Assault Response Team meeting and includes law enforcement agencies, Sparrow Hospital, and Michigan State University. EVE participates with DV and law enforcement agencies that review fatal cases to help strengthen current and future services and protocols, the Ingham County DV Fatality Review Team. These coordinating bodies have worked together to strengthen services for all persons in our service area that are fleeing DV, including those from LGBTQI+ and immigrant communities; as well as increasing understanding of centering the experiences of BIPOC.

3. The CoC recognizes confidentiality as the cornerstone to building trust with any survivor. Privacy of the victim is required based on CoC policy and agency policy for those serving DV survivors. EVE is an expert in safety and privacy for victims of DV. EVE ensures all their staff are trained in privacy, trauma informed care and survivor centered services. They also provide training for the CoC in these areas, which is critically important since most with a history of DV that are not presently fleeing, are staying in the community's homeless shelters.

1C-6.	Addressing the Needs of Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender and Queer+–Anti-Discrimination Policy and Training.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.f.	

1.	Did your CoC implement a written CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy ensuring that LGBTQ+ individuals and families receive supportive services, shelter, and housing free from discrimination?	Yes		
2.	Did your CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement the Equal Access to Housing in HUD Programs Regardless of Sexual Orientation or Gender Identity (Equal Access Final Rule)?		No	
3.	Did your CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement Equal Access in Accordance With an Individual's Gender Identity in Community Planning and Development Programs (Gender Identity Final Rule)?		No	

You must select a response for elements 1 through 3 in question 1C-6.

1C-6a.	Anti-Discrimination Policy–Updating Policies–Assisting Providers–Evaluating Compliance–Addressing Noncompliance.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.f.	

	Describe in the field below:
1.	whether your CoC updates its CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy, as necessary, based on stakeholder feedback;
2.	how your CoC assisted providers in developing project-level anti-discrimination policies that are consistent with the CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy ensuring that LGBTQ+ individuals and families receive supportive services, shelter, and housing free from discrimination;
3.	your CoC's process for evaluating compliance with your CoC's anti-discrimination policies; and
4.	your CoC's process for addressing noncompliance with your CoC's anti-discrimination policies.

[2004 characters]

1. The CoC reviews policies annually and updates as needed. The CoC gathers stakeholder feedback through surveys and focused conversations with key stakeholders, participants, member agencies and partnering agencies.
2. The CoC conducts annual trainings for CoC and ESG providers and any interested providers and stakeholders, including other agencies, agency staff and volunteers, through its monthly Network meetings. Anti-Discrimination and Fair Housing trainings are among the trainings completed. The CoC will make available additional training on an as needed basis. In addition to training, as part of its annual review of the COC Anti-Discrimination policy, it will review policies, including its Anti-Discrimination Policy by reviewing the Equal Access Rule in concert with its own policy, procedures, and data as part of the monthly Strategic Planning group tasks. The CoC aligns anit-discrimination and fair housing procedures in its trainings and policy discussions to ensure fair housing tools are used to assist in ensuring compliance with its anti-discrimination polices and procedures.
3. As part of annual monitoring processes, the CoC and the collaborative applicant (CA) review agency anti-discrimination policies and procedures to ensure alignment with Equal Access Final Rule and CoC policies. The CoC reviews all Fair Housing policies, logs, and complaints for CoC and ESG providers. The CoC review grievance procedures and logs while also reviewing data at the project level for demographics, and outcomes.
4. The CoC addresses non-compliance issues by recommendation of a Corrective Action Plan (CAP) that must receive Board approval. All issued CAPs must be addressed in writing to the CoC and CA. All CAPs are reviewed semi-annually for compliance. In future local grant competitions, applicants receive a deduction in points if they have received a CAP in the prior year, therefore decreasing the opportunity for future funding until the issue of non-compliance is resolved.

1C-7.	**Public Housing Agencies within Your CoC's Geographic Area–New Admissions–General/Limited Preference–Moving On Strategy.**	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	

You must upload the PHA Homeless Preference\PHA Moving On Preference attachment(s) to the 4B. Attachments Screen.
Enter information in the chart below for the two largest PHAs highlighted in gray on the FY 2021 CoC-PHA Crosswalk Report or the two PHAs your CoC has a working relationship with–if there is only one PHA in your CoC's geographic area, provide information on the one:

Public Housing Agency Name	Enter the Percent of New Admissions into Public Housing and Housing Choice Voucher Program During FY 2021 who were experiencing homelessness at entry	Does the PHA have a General or Limited Homeless Preference?	Does the PHA have a Preference for current PSH program participants no longer needing intensive supportive services, e.g., Moving On?
Lansing Housing Commission	0%	No	Yes
MI State Housing Development Authority	91%	Yes-HCV	Yes

You must enter information for at least 1 row in question 1C-7.

1C-7a.	**Written Policies on Homeless Admission Preferences with PHAs.**	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	

	Describe in the field below:
1.	**steps your CoC has taken, with the two largest PHAs within your CoC's geographic area or the two PHAs your CoC has working relationships with, to adopt a homeless admission preference–if your CoC only has one PHA within its geographic area, you may respond for the one; or**
2.	**state that your CoC has not worked with the PHAs in its geographic area to adopt a homeless admission preference.**

(limit 2,500 characters) **[1693 characters]**

1. The MI State Housing Development Authority (MSHDA), our largest PHA partnership, has a

homeless preference. Our 2nd largest PHA relationship, **The Lansing Housing Commission (LHC) has had such preferences in the past. Due to changes at the Federal Level, HUD introduced alternative funding mechanisms at PHAs under Ben Carson's leadership. It appears this may have resulted in less incentive to prioritize public housing units for homeless persons. In the past, the Collaborative Applicant City of Lansing had worked closely with the Lansing Housing Commission to implement a homeless preference that benefits the CoC programs to provide a housing voucher for participants that no longer requires case management to move forward. LHC did work closely with our CoC on the Emergency Housing Vouchers this past June. LHC has been a great community partner managing two CoC programs while partnering with other Supportive Services agencies (Community Mental Health and Advent House Ministries) to provide case management for participants. The City provides input to the housing authority when comments are open for the strategic plan advocating for a homeless preference and offering CoC resources and partner agency services and will continue to do so in the future. The PHA inventory of housing continues to bring a needed option for participants with Moving On strategies**. The Ingham County PHA, the smallest of the local PHAs, has a county focus and is seated in a rural setting. They have worked with the CoC on seemingly a case-by-case basis to prioritize homeless persons in their housing preferences. Ingham PHA serves individuals and families and significant senior housing options.

2-N/A

1C-7b.	**Moving On Strategy with Affordable Housing Providers.**	
	Not Scored–For Information Only	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate affordable housing providers in your CoC's jurisdiction that your recipients use to move program participants to other subsidized housing:

1.	**Multifamily assisted housing owners**	**No**
2.	**PHA**	**Yes**
3.	**Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) developments**	**Yes**
4.	**Local low-income housing programs**	**Yes**
	Other (limit 150 characters)	

5.		

You must select a response for elements 1 through 4 in question 1C-7b.

1C-7c.	Include Units from PHA Administered Programs in Your CoC's Coordinated Entry.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	

In the chart below, indicate if your CoC includes units from the following PHA programs in your CoC's coordinated entry process?

1.	Emergency Housing Vouchers (EHV)	Yes
2.	Family Unification Program (FUP)	N/A
3.	Housing Choice Voucher (HCV)	Yes
4.	HUD-Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing (HUD-VASH)	Yes
5.	Mainstream Vouchers	Yes
6.	Non-Elderly Disabled (NED) Vouchers	N/A
7.	Public Housing	Yes
8.	Other Units from PHAs:	

You must select a response in elements 1 through 7 in question 1C-7c.

1C-7d.	Submitting CoC and PHA Joint Applications for Funding for People Experiencing Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	

1.	Did your CoC coordinate with a PHA(s) to submit a competitive joint application(s) for funding or jointly implement a competitive project serving individuals or families experiencing homelessness (e.g., applications for mainstream vouchers, Family Unification Program (FUP), other programs)?	No
		Program Funding Source
2.	Enter the type of competitive project your CoC coordinated with a PHA(s) to submit a joint application for or jointly implement.	N/A

You must select a response for element 1–if you select Yes, you must provide a response in element 2 in question 1C-7d.

1C-7e.	Coordinating with PHA(s) to Apply for or Implement HCV Dedicated to Homelessness Including Emergency Housing Voucher (EHV).	Yes
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.g.	

	Did your CoC coordinate with any PHA to apply for or implement funding provided for Housing Choice Vouchers dedicated to homelessness, including vouchers provided through the American Rescue Plan?	Yes

Applicant:
Project:

You must select a response for question 1C-7e.

1C-7e.1.	List of PHAs with Active MOUs to Administer the Emergency Housing Voucher (EHV) Program.	
	Not Scored–For Information Only	

Lansing Housing Commission
MI State Housing Authority

	Does your CoC have an active Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with any PHA to administer the EHV Program?	Yes
	If you select yes to question 1C-7e.1., you must use the list feature below to enter the name of every PHA your CoC has an active MOU with to administer the Emergency Housing Voucher Program.	

PHA
This list contains no items

1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

Name of PHA: Lansing Housing Commission

1C-7e.1. List of PHAs with MOUs

Name of PHA: MI State Housing Development Authority

1D. Coordination and Engagement Cont'd

1D-1.	Discharge Planning Coordination.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.h.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC actively coordinates with the systems of care listed to ensure persons who have resided in them longer than 90 days are not discharged directly to the streets, emergency shelters, or other homeless assistance programs.

1. Foster Care	Yes
2. Health Care	Yes
3. Mental Health Care	Yes
4. Correctional Facilities	Yes

You must select a response for elements 1 through 4 in question 1D-1.

1D-2.	Housing First–Lowering Barriers to Entry.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.i.	

1.	Enter the total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe-Haven, and Transitional Housing projects your CoC is applying for in FY 2022 CoC Program Competition.	12
2.	Enter the total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe-Haven, and Transitional Housing projects your CoC is applying for in FY 2022 CoC Program Competition that have adopted the Housing First approach.	12
3.	This number is a calculation of the percentage of new and renewal PSH, RRH, SSO non-Coordinated Entry, Safe-Haven, and Transitional Housing projects the CoC has ranked in its CoC Priority Listing in the FY 2022 CoC Program Competition that reported that they are lowering barriers to entry and prioritizing rapid placement and stabilization to permanent housing.	100%

You must enter a value for elements 1 and 2 in question 1D-2.

1D-2a.	Project Evaluation for Housing First Compliance.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.i.	

	Describe in the field below:
1.	how your CoC evaluates every recipient–that checks Housing First on their Project Application–to determine if they are actually using a Housing First approach;
2.	the list of factors and performance indicators your CoC uses during its evaluation; and
3.	how your CoC regularly evaluates projects outside of the competition to ensure the projects are using a Housing First approach.

(limit 2,500 characters) [1853 characters|

1. Housing First is a priority as demonstrated consistently in our policies and written standards of operation which all CoC and ESG providers agree to. It is one of our priorities for ensuring participants move into housing a quickly as possible. The CoC promotes trauma informed strategies, consumer preference, harm reduction, improved wellbeing and connection to community and mainstream resources while reducing barriers to access to services by ensuring participants a low barrier approach to program access, ensuring consumers are not refused services based on their background or how they present. The CoC annual monitoring processes includes a review of agency policies and procedures for alignment with Housing First approaches, review of agency training records for the programs direct care and management staff. The CoC also conducts annual focus groups and surveys of participants to better understand their needs and experience with agency programs. Housing First SWAT analysis from agencies and participants to review how housing 1st is incorporated, focusing both sheltered and unsheltered consumers.
2. The list of factors or indicators the CoC uses during an agency evaluation and application scoring process includes
    a. training of staff for trauma informed strategies and motivational interviewing,
    b. evidence of participant choice being considered,
    c. review of program entry exit policies, procedures, and protocols.
    d. review of the length of time between program enrollment and housing
    e. review of case record and
    f. overall program data, with particular attention to program enrollments/admissions and exits and entry of people with history of recidivism

    The CoC is developing a Housing First review tool that incorporates these factors and other industry best practices.
3. The CoC collaborative applicant works with the CoC to conduct an annual review. The CoC CQI committee reviews agency data on a quarterly basis for trends and outcomes and presents reports to the agency and the CoC Board. The CoC also discusses policies, procedures at the quarterly peer to peer PH workgroups.

1D-3.	**Street Outreach–Scope.**	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.j.	

	Describe in the field below:
1.	**your CoC's street outreach efforts, including the methods it uses to ensure all persons experiencing unsheltered homelessness are identified and engaged;**
2.	**whether your CoC's Street Outreach covers 100 percent of the CoC's geographic area;**
3.	**how often your CoC conducts street outreach; and**
4.	**how your CoC tailored its street outreach to persons experiencing homelessness who are least likely to request assistance.**

(limit 2,500 characters) [2150 characters]

1. Outreach teams visit locations where unsheltered people are known to congregate including parks, bridges, trails, encampments, and other outdoor venues or places not meant for habitation to provide basic needs items and encourage people to go to shelter. PATH Outreach is contracted to work collaboratively with our local public transportation and has staff at the downtown bus terminal, community drop-in centers, where unsheltered are congregating. Teams partner with regional law enforcement and police social workers, parks, libraries, mobile mental health crisis unit, mobile health care services with the MSU Street Med team, local business owners/associations, and city/county/state (governing bridges/roadways where homeless camp). The team uses smartphone app to share locations and obtain resources. Monthly outreach meetings are held to discuss high utilizer areas & locations of encampments. Youth outreach utilizes paid Peer Navigators with a history of homelessness to guide team to areas where youth are known to congregate and provide a youth-centric engagement approach. Youth can utilize a 24-hour crisis hotline or website to request services from Outreach staff.

2. All the CoC is covered. Teams operate with regular routes in urban areas and weekly routes in suburban/rural areas. The team collaborates with Tri-County teams to ensure coverage of the Greater Lansing region/Ingham County.
3. Outreach operates 6 days/week, including daily during the week, one late night, and Saturdays with Street Medicine services. For youth, street-based crisis intervention services are 20 hours/week.
4. Youth are less likely to connect to services as they do not know where to go and distrust adults and "systems" such as child welfare, juvenile/criminal justice, and mental health. Youth and PATH Street Outreach connect with participants through a consistent, relational approach; utilizing a harm reduction strategy to engage. Team members assist individuals to meet immediate basic needs, build trust, and work toward permanent housing. Fifty six percent (56%) of participants moved from street homeless to positive housing destinations in 2021-22.

1D-4.	Strategies to Prevent Criminalization of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.k.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate strategies your CoC implemented to ensure homelessness is not criminalized and to reverse existing criminalization policies in your CoC's geographic area:

		Ensure Homelessness is not Criminalized	Reverse Existing Criminalization Policies
1.	Engaged/educated local policymakers	Yes	No
2.	Engaged/educated law enforcement	Yes	No
3.	Engaged/educated local business leaders	Yes	Yes
4.	Implemented community wide plans	No	No
5.	Other:(limit 500 characters)		

You must select a response for elements 1 through 4 in question 1D-4.

1D-5.	**Rapid Rehousing–RRH Beds as Reported in the Housing Inventory Count (HIC).**	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.l.	

		2021	2022
	Enter the total number of RRH beds available to serve all populations as reported in the HIC–only enter bed data for projects that have an inventory type of "Current."	146	196

You must enter a value for both years in question 1D-5.

Applicant:
Project:

1D-6.	Mainstream Benefits–CoC Annual Training of Project Staff.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.m.	

Indicate in the chart below whether your CoC trains program staff annually on the following mainstream benefits available for program participants within your CoC's geographic area:

	Resource	CoC Provides Annual Training?
1.	Food Stamps	Yes
2.	SSI–Supplemental Security Income	Yes
3.	TANF–Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	Yes
4.	Substance Abuse Programs	No
5.	Employment Assistance Programs	No
6.	Other (limit 150 characters)	

You must select a response for elements 1 through 5 in question 1D-6.

1D-6a.	Information and Training on Mainstream Benefits and Other Assistance.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.m	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC:
1.	systemically provides up-to-date information on mainstream resources available for program participants (e.g., Food Stamps, SSI, TANF, substance abuse programs) within your CoC's geographic area;
2.	works with project staff to collaborate with healthcare organizations, including substance abuse treatment and mental health treatment, to assist program participants with receiving healthcare services; and
3.	works with projects to promote SSI/SSDI Outreach, Access, and Recovery (SOAR) certification of program staff.

(limit 2,500 characters) [2444 characters]

1. The CoC's monthly Network meetings offer networking opportunities between agency staff and training/monthly updates to the community on resource changes and status. Every meeting offers invites audience questions and agency announcements. Ingham County Department of Health and Human Services has a seat on the CoC Board and participates in multiple CoC committees providing up to date information of resource availability and changes and annual training related to SNAP, SSI and TANF resources. Community Mental Health (CMH) also serves on the CoC Board and provides an annual training at the Network meeting. CMH has a wide variety of programing including medical Detox, Substance Use counseling, and The Housing Assessment and Resource Agency (HARA) and the local housing commission provides regular information on access to housing vouchers, including Emergency Housing Vouchers. The CoC website promotes access to available mainstream resources. Regular and on-line training and webinars are offered through Michigan Coalition Against Homelessness (MICAH), every month by HUD and MSHDA on such resources.

2. The CoC works collaboratively with Community Mental Health which provides mental health and substance abuse services. The CoC also works with healthcare by partnering with main hospital (Sparrow) to utilize the mobile health unit at locations identified by the CoC, making healthcare more accessible to vulnerable populations. The Ingham County Health Department (ICHD) has FQHC clinics located within one of our shelter facilities and in close walking proximity to another large shelter. One CoC provider (Child and Family Charities) has an SUD clinic targeted to youth. Additionally, the CoC distributes resource information via email on housing, open enrollments, funding, grants available in the community, health information, and benefits. CoC has a liaison with MDHHS for Literally Homeless

Assistance and State Emergency Relief. DHHS presents at Network meetings for frontline staff. City of Lansing has contractual agreements with community health care clinics and community centers to enroll uninsured and underinsured. Case Managers help participants enroll in Health Care Plans. ICHD has peer community care workers to enroll people.

3. The CoC has three SOAR practitioners housed in three different agencies. Other agencies are considering getting staff trained in SOAR. The CoC supports SOAR certification of staff.

1D-7.	**Increasing Capacity for Non-Congregate Sheltering.**	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.n.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC is increasing its capacity to provide non-congregate sheltering.

(limit 2,500 characters) **[1777 characters]**

The CoC shelter programs operated by Holy Cross Services, Homeless Angels, and Advent House each have provided some level of non-congregate sheltering using a hotel model. Holy Cross operates annually, a hotel program the primarily houses families when there is not appropriate space in area shelters. Homeless Angels, a faith-based shelter, purchased an older motel that now operates as a homeless shelter. HA serves both families and some individuals and works collaboratively with the CoC if they have capacity. Additionally, due to capacity issues, Advent House worked with the City of Lansing to open some additional hotel room spaces due to capacity issues at shelters for primarily families or unsheltered persons that preferred not to be in congregate settings. Some of the shelters also have worked to update congregate shelters to provide additional privacy. For example, Haven House (HH) completed bathroom renovations to create greater levels of family safety and privacy in semi-congregate shelter spaces. Child and Family Charities, (CFC) upgraded their shelter facilities to reduce the number of youths per room to a maximum of two and provided private bathrooms.

ID-8.	**Partnerships with Public Health Agencies–Collaborating to Respond to and Prevent Spread of Infectious Diseases.**	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.o.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC effectively collaborates with state and local public health agencies to:
1.	**develop CoC-wide policies and procedures to respond to infectious disease outbreaks; and**

2.	**prevent infectious disease outbreaks among people experiencing homelessness.**

(limit 2,500 characters) [1659 characters]

1. The CoC primarily through its shelter and outreach components, works collaboratively with the Michigan Department of Health and Human Services (MDHHS) and Ingham County Health Department (ICHD) to prevent and mitigate the spread of infectious disease outbreaks. The CoC collaborated with each of these state and local health care agencies to provide regular testing, vaccinations, and assistance with obtaining sanitation supplies which includes hand sanitizer, masks, and other sanitation supplies. Testing and vaccinations were made available on a weekly scheduled basis for sheltered and unsheltered persons at multiple sites. The CoC also partnered with Michigan State University's Street Med program which coordinated with the CoC's PATH Outreach teams to provide additional testing and vaccination education and information about testing sites to unsheltered homeless persons. The Street Med program provides assessment and immediate non-emergent care while coordinating medical services with the ICHD Federally Qualified Health Clinic, housed within a local low barrier shelter.

2. ICHD also visited shelter sites to make spatial recommendations to prevent and mitigate the spread of infectious disease. Both agencies provided regular education and communication protocols to assist with developing CoC and agency level procedures and protocols that could effectively mitigate the spread of disease for participants and staff. When there were instances of an outbreak, ICHD helped by providing best practice recommendations to the CoC on shelter operations, outreach protocols and assistance with de-congregating shelter spaces, which played a significant.

ID-8a.	**Collaboration With Public Health Agencies on Infectious Diseases.**	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.o.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC effectively equipped providers to prevent or limit infectious disease outbreaks among program participants by:
1.	**sharing information related to public health measures and homelessness, and**
2.	**facilitating communication between public health agencies and homeless service providers to ensure street outreach providers and shelter and housing providers are equipped to prevent or limit infectious disease outbreaks among program participants.**

(limit 2,500 characters) [2136 characters]

1. ICHD has a FQHC, New Hope Clinic, housed in a local low barrier shelter (Holy Cross Services-HCS) of the COC. ICHD, HCS and Sparrow Hospital have a monthly advisory meeting to review aggregate patient usage data, shelter and patient need, challenges, successes and patient satisfaction or recommendations. ICHD and the shelter have made changes based on patient feedback, such as increased walk-in hours and flexible vaccination times on Fridays. The shelter has worked with the clinic and other shelters to help schedule vaccination appointments in 15-minute intervals the night prior for shelter residents. The clinic also works with shelter providers when the shelter staff believe someone is having a medical event that requires treatment. Staff can literally walk the patient to the clinic or assist in getting a same day appointment to address the issue. In emergent situations, the clinic will arrange transport to Sparrow ER which is 3 blocks from the facility. Sparrow Hospital and ICHD also work together to coordinate patient care.

2. Some shelters have made permanent changes in their physical facilities because of communications, collaborations and lessons learned with ICHD, MDHHS, and Sparrow Hospital. The changes have resulted in positive permanent impacts on practices in street outreach and in congregate settings. Changes include updates to ventilation and air flow in facilities, changes to provide more privacy, incorporation of isolation spaces and improved sanitation protocols and practices. This pandemic resulted in increased communication and collaboration between emergency management, public health, county, and city governmental agencies, the CoC and homeless and housing service providers coordinate and plan services to ensure safety and wellness of sheltered and unsheltered homeless participants. The collaboration resulted in daily sharing of information between Emergency Management and Shelter providers during the height of the pandemic. The planning and coordination also resulted in the creation of a taskforce to develop planning for unsheltered in encampments and a shelter overflow plan.

1D-9.	**Centralized or Coordinated Entry System–Assessment Process.**	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.p.	

Applicant:
Project:

	Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry system:
1.	covers 100 percent of your CoC's geographic area;
2.	uses a standardized assessment process; and
3.	is updated regularly using feedback received from participating projects and households that participated in coordinated entry.

(limit 2,500 characters) [1984 characters]

1. The Coordinated Entry System covers the entire County (100% of geographic area). The CoC through the housing services partners with CACS rural offices and the three district courts for communicating and providing housing services. The CoC also ensures distribution of resources through the outreach teams for unsheltered and provide information county wide for housing services. Outlying areas are contacted regularly & are on the CoC list-serv that contacts law enforcement, human service agencies, 24-hour businesses, & faith-based groups to educate & inform them on available resources. The PIT count & Homeless Awareness week events assist with outreach and cover the entire CoC geographic area.

2. The CoC uses a standardized assessment for intakes and uses the VI-SPDAT, as it is still required by State funders. The CoC is working with a HUD TA to develop a more robust assessment tool to use along with the VI-SPDAT, ensuring fairness and equity with assessment and enrollment processes. The CoC is using diversion assessments primarily with youth and family services to ensure participants have safe housing options other than shelter. In the assessment and intake processes, all applicant households are triaged either by phone or in- person to assess needs. Screening uses the VI-SPDAT tool, length of homelessness and degree of acuity as components to prioritize applicants into available housing resources including Permanent Supportive Housing, Rapid Rehousing, VASH, or other assistance. VI-SPDAT is not used to screen participants out of eligibility for housing programs. A relational, engaging, and consistent housing first approach is used for all applicants.

3.The coordinated entry system (CES) processes is designed to ensure feedback from agencies, partners and participants is requested and wrapped back into program development and reassessment. The information and data inform policy priorities & the appropriate flow of households in & out of the system.

1D-9a.	Program Participant-Centered Approach to Centralized or Coordinated Entry.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.p.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry system:
1.	reaches people who are least likely to apply for homeless assistance in the absence of special outreach;
2.	prioritizes people most in need of assistance;
3.	ensures people most in need of assistance receive permanent housing in a timely manner, consistent with their preferences; and
4.	takes steps to reduce burdens on people using coordinated entry.

(limit 2,500 characters) [2151 characters]

1. The CoC makes a concerted effort through regular outreach efforts to reach the most vulnerable populations. The use of the CoCs four drop-in centers and variety of free meal services allow the outreach teams improved access to those who may not engage easily otherwise. By building engagement in these environments, it makes the continuity of engagement easier in unsheltered environments. Additionally, the outreach teams along with the MSU Street Med team offers some basic needs items and utilizes peer relationships to gain access to some who may not engage on their own.
2. The CoC has historically incorporated the best practice of using a by name list with an interdisciplinary team meeting every two weeks to prioritize the most vulnerable among the sheltered and unsheltered homeless populations and match them with housing resources. The VI-SPDAT continues to be one component of prioritization. Other components have included length of homelessness, age, and existence of a disabling condition or veteran status. Persons are first prioritized by length of homelessness, and then by acuity and disabling condition. Veteran, Youth, and senior statuses are taken into consideration as they will have additional housing resources to take into consideration.

3. The assessment process includes a housing plan which assesses housing barriers and preferences. Housing

barriers and in some cases family size will limit access to housing opportunities, thereby limiting the ability to consider preferences. However, it is always the applicants the housing unit is always the applicant's choice.

4. The CoC is working toward have an online option for completing initial assessments for housing and including regularly updated housing resource lists available online at member websites. Outreach information directs people in need to locations where they can receive hands-on assistance and provides a list of documents needed to obtain housing. The HARA teams make biweekly visits to community shelters to ensure all eligible residents are signed on the MSHDA Housing Choice Voucher waitlist or the Emergency Housing Voucher waitlist, if eligible.

1D-10.	**Promoting Racial Equity in Homelessness–Conducing Assessment.**	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.	

Applicant:
Project:

1.	Has your CoC conducted a racial disparities assessment in the last 3 years?	Yes
2.	Enter the date your CoC conducted its latest assessment for racial disparities.	06/10/2021

You must select a response for element 1–if you select Yes, you must enter a date in element 2 in question 1D-10.

[2171characters]

1D-10a.	Process for Analyzing Racial Disparities–Identifying Racial Disparities in Provision or Outcomes of Homeless Assistance.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.	

	Describe in the field below:
1.	your CoC's process for analyzing whether any racial disparities are present in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance; and
2.	what racial disparities your CoC identified in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance.

1) The CoC's process for analyzing whether there are racial disparities present in the access, provision, and outcomes of assistance includes the review and comparison of data from various sources. The data sources include HMIS data on demographics and outcomes that is submitted for the LSA and accessed via Stella P and US Census or American Community Surveys 5-year est. data for Ingham County and for the City of Lansing. The HMIS data is broken down into different groups, such as household type, age ranges, and other characteristics such as veteran status. Those groups are then broken out further by race and gender and compared to similar categories in the overall population estimates for the CoC. This allows for more targeted analysis of disparities beyond looking the racial identities of all people experiencing homelessness compared to the overall population.

2) Our CoC has a disparity in terms of people experiencing homelessness that identify as Black, African America, or African compared to the overall County population. A disparity is present for all household types but is greater for adults in families with children and young adults 18-24. In these household types, Black, African America, or Africans account for more than 50% of households experiencing homelessness, but in the overall population of the County they represent just 12%. However, our data shows that access to assistance is equitable. Over a 3-year period (10/1/17–9/30/20) enrollments of adult & child households in RRH & PSH show the majority of participants (59%) identify as Black, African America, or African. This exceeds the percentage of Black, African America, or Africans in the population who used emergency shelter (49%). For adult-only households, the portion of Black, African America, or Africans in shelter (43%) is equal to the portion in RRH or PSH (43%). The rate of exits to permanent housing for Black, African America, or Africans during the three years was equal to or greater than the overall rate of exits to PH during and greater than that of people who identified as white. They also had slightly shorter lengths of time homeless than the overall population. Adults who are Hispanic/Latinx achieved a PH rate comparable to overall population. Looking more deeply into the PH rate, there is a disparity for young adults (18 to 24 years) who identify as Black, African America, or African in 2020 compared to other populations, 21% and 27% respectively.

1D-10b.	Strategies to Address Racial Disparities.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the strategies your CoC is using to address any racial disparities.

1.	The CoC's board and decisionmaking bodies are representative of the population served in the CoC.	Yes
2.	The CoC has identified steps it will take to help the CoC board and decisionmaking bodies better reflect the population served in the CoC.	Yes?
3.	The CoC is expanding outreach in geographic areas with higher concentrations of underrepresented groups.	Yes
4.	The CoC has communication, such as flyers, websites, or other materials, inclusive of underrepresented groups.	Yes

5.	The CoC is training staff working in the homeless services sector to better understand racism and the intersection of racism and homelessness.	Yes
6.	The CoC is establishing professional development opportunities to identify and invest in emerging leaders of different races and ethnicities in the homelessness sector.	Yes
7.	The CoC has staff, committees, or other resources charged with analyzing and addressing racial disparities related to homelessness.	Yes
8.	The CoC is educating organizations, stakeholders, boards of directors for local and national nonprofit organizations working on homelessness on the topic of creating greater racial and ethnic diversity.	Yes
9.	The CoC reviewed coordinated entry processes to understand their impact on people of different races and ethnicities experiencing homelessness.	Yes
10.	The CoC is collecting data to better understand the pattern of program use for people of different races and ethnicities in its homeless services system.	Yes
11.	The CoC is conducting additional research to understand the scope and needs of different races or ethnicities experiencing homelessness.	Yes
	Other:(limit 500 characters)	
12.	The City's Racial Justice and Equity plan, published Aug 2021, will be presented at a CoC Network meeting in 21-22, including the Equity Matrix that maps community data and the workforce diversity dashboard measuring diversity among City employees. CoC members participated in the plan's development (Housing workgroup) and we anticipate the plan influencing our future racial disparity goals.	Yes

You must select a response for elements 1 through 11 in question 1D-10b.

1D-10c.	Actions Taken to Address Known Disparities.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.	

Describe in the field below the steps your CoC and homeless providers have taken to address disparities identified in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters) [2497 characters]

The rate of exits to permanent housing for Black, African American, or Africans was equal or greater than the overall rate of exits to PH and greater than people who identified as white. They also had slightly shorter lengths of time homeless than the overall population. There was a disparity in PH for young adults who identify as Black in 2020 compared to other populations, 21% and 27% respectively. One of the strategies identified and embraced by the CoC to assist with young families is a master leasing RRH program for young adults under the age of 24. This population oftentimes struggles with rental history, sufficient income, and credit history to qualify without assistance. A rental assistance RRH and PSH will assist the population overcome some of these barriers. After one year in the program, the participant may be eligible to move to a rental assistance PH program and ultimately to a move up voucher, that maintains the subsidy without case management. The CoC also has identified the need for PSH for young singles with co-occurring disorders. They have typically not met the eligibility requirements of CH but require PSH level of services to sustain housings preventing them from future CH status.

The CoC also collaborates with housing counselors and with the City of Lansing and the Financial Empowerment Center to host the Rent Smart Program, which is class designed to improve access to housing for households that complete the 4-week course. Designated landlords that would not have rented to these households will accept the Rent Smart Certification and waive some of the access requirements, including overlooking so of the barriers that would have caused them previously to lose the housing opportunity. The CoC has expanded its reach using print, TV, radio, Facebook, and Twitter. We have also included in-person grass root face to face events to increase contact and knowledge of housing opportunities and worked in close contact with the district court system. The CoC used Emergency Housing Vouchers to target populations that are highly vulnerable and have shown they have difficulty accessing regular housing resources. The CoC recognizes a need to improve workforce development resources and access to affordable childcare and is working with the regional workforce investment agency to discuss new resource opportunities and partnerships. The CoC partners with CMH and Disability Advocates to help those with disabilities to better navigate housing systems.

1D-10d.	Tracking Progress on Preventing or Eliminating Disparities.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.q.	

Describe in the field below the measures your CoC has in place to track progress on preventing or eliminating disparities in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters) [1047 characters]

The CoC's lead HMIS agency accesses the HUD STELLA report for annual reporting and the Michigan Coalition Against Homelessness' HMIS data warehouse. The reports and data are reviewed in the CoC S CQI Committee on a quarterly basis. The reports along with the Capital Area United Way Alice Report, are used to evaluate and track disparities, trends, and gaps in services. The STELLA and ALICE reports are annual while the warehouse provides a centralized database updated quarterly. The combination of reports allows the CoC to review data frequently. Demographic and system performance measures are reviewed quarterly in the CQI Committee and reported to the CoC Board and Network meetings. The meeting discussions focus on understanding the data in the context of community events and changes, while strategizing to make data informed adjustments. The data also gives the CoC the ability to quickly evaluate the impact of changes in services and program designs quantitatively. Qualitative measures are also considered in the evaluation of impact.

1D-11.	Involving Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness in Service Delivery and Decisionmaking–CoC's Outreach Efforts.	

NOFO Section VII.B.1.r.

Describe in the field below your CoC's outreach efforts (e.g., social media announcements, targeted outreach) to engage those with lived experience of homelessness in leadership roles and decision making processes.

(limit 2,500 characters) [598 characters]

The CoC policies and bylaws requires that a Board position be dedicated to a person with lived experience. The position is currently filled. The CoC also uses targeted outreach through emergency shelters, outreach teams and other advocacy agencies to reach out to persons with lived experience. It is the CoC intention to ensure that persons with lived experience represent the populations served in the homeless services. The CoC also uses focus groups, surveys, and feedback forms to gather information from persons with lived experience using CoC homeless and housing services.

1D-11a.	Active CoC Participation of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.r.	

Enter in the chart below the number of people with lived experience who currently participate in your CoC under the five categories listed:

	Level of Active Participation	Number of People with Lived Experience Within the Last 7 Years or Current Program Participant	Number of People with Lived Experience Coming from Unsheltered Situations
1.	Included and provide input that is incorporated in the local planning process.	3	2
2.	Review and recommend revisions to local policies addressing homelessness related to coordinated entry, services, and housing.	10	7
3.	Participate on CoC committees, subcommittees, or workgroups.	4	3
4.	Included in the decisionmaking processes related to addressing homelessness.	4	3
5.	Included in the development or revision of your CoC's local competition rating factors.	3	2

You must enter a value of '0' or more for elements 1 through 5 in both columns in question 1D-11a.

1D-11b.	Professional Development and Employment Opportunities for Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.r.

Describe in the field below how your CoC or CoC membership organizations provide professional development and employment opportunities to individuals with lived experience of homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters) [966 characters]

The CoC connects all interested persons served to the Michigan Connect program which offers the opportunity to earn an associate degree or Pell-eligible skill certificate for those 25+ at local public community colleges, such as Lansing Community College (LCC). LCC is walking distance from many shelters and easily accessible by public transportation. The program also helps with childcare, transportation and other financial supports. The CoC is building more strategic relationships with Michigan Works! the local WIA agency. Michigan Works! Also offers coaching, tuition assistance and vocational training. Additionally, CoC provides professional industry specific development training opportunities through Network meetings. These meetings are open to anyone who would like to attend, and most trainings are at no cost. CoC providers such as Capital Area Housing Partnership, and Legal Services also provide additional training opportunities at their agencies.

1D-11c.	Routinely Gathering Feedback and Addressing Challenges of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.r.

	Describe in the field below how your CoC:
1.	how your CoC routinely gathered feedback from people experiencing homelessness and people who have received assistance through the CoC or ESG program on their experience receiving assistance; and
2.	the steps your CoC has taken to address challenges raised by people with lived experience of homelessness

(limit 2,500 characters) [1203 characters]

1. The CoC providers have monthly engagement with RRH participants to gather information on a feedback form. Shelters and Transitional housing providers conduct weekly housing meetings to obtain information. Additionally, some CoC and ESG providers have feedback forms and boxes placed in central locations where participants congregate. The boxes are reviewed weekly and sent to a centralized location for evaluation. Others provide an electronic link to participants and stakeholders to complete an online survey. The survey results go to a central data base and is reviewed at team meetings.

2. The CoC Executive Committee reviews all complaints, grievances or concerns raised through the CoC website, by email or through the grievance process. All concerns begin with a thorough review, an interview with the person(s) with lived experience, a meeting with the agency or review of agency grievance processes relative to the concern and relevant agency policies. The CoC may facilitate a meeting with the persons with lived experience and the agency. The CoC may request changes in policies or program design as part of the resolution if the change will result in improved services or program systems.

1D-12.	**Increasing Affordable Housing Supply.**	
	NOFO Section VII.B.1.t.	

	Describe in the field below at least 2 steps your CoC has taken in the past 12 months that engage city, county, or state governments that represent your CoC's geographic area regarding the following:
1.	**reforming zoning and land use policies to permit more housing development; and**
2.	**reducing regulatory barriers to housing development.**

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. The City of Lansing is represented on the CoC Board. Representatives from the Economic, Development and Planning (EDP) and the Human Relations and Community Services (HRCS) Departments are active members and participants in CoC planning meetings. EDP consults with the CoC in its funding for development projects. In accordance with MSHDA, our largest PHA, requires local housing developers seeking Low Income Housing Tax Credit program (LITAC) funds must obtain support from the local CoC. The CoC also has a local non-profit developer on its Board (CAHP). CAHP (Capital Area Housing Partnership) received City, County funding for single house developments and multi-unit developments with PSH units. Of the two large multi-unit projects in process on required a change in zoning. The CoC also participates in meeting with localities (Lansing and East Lansing) to assist with planning the use of funds to CDBG and ARPA allocations.

2. The CoC's participation and input in planning and identifying service gaps prior to the release of local competitions has increased housing affordability by supporting income restrictions on property units.

Agency	Component	Original Budget	Spent YTD-June/July	Change Request	New Budget Amt	Notes	Extend grant term to 9/30/23
Advent House	Street Outreach	173,783	173,783	3,000 fr HMIS to SO ES; all lines to SO ES	176,783	All in SO ES	x
	HMIS	3,000	0	-3,000			
CAHP	Prevention	350,000	0	380,537 avail			x
	Rapid Rehousing	50,000	0	22,359 avail			
	HMIS	4,500	0	9,000 avail			
CFC	Street Outreach	60,315	51,290	21,688	82,003	All in Ess Srvs	x
CFC	Emergency Shelter	59,939	15,142	-21,688	38,251	All in Ess Srvs	
CFC	HMIS	6,000	0	no change	6,000		
Haven House	Shelter Operations	265,000	173,814	no budget change	265,000	hoteling	x
	HMIS Lead	0		3,000 fr Admin	3,000		
Holy Cross Services	Emergency Shelter	495,759	476,619	no budget change	495,759		x
	Prevention	487,991	107,188	balance to CAHP	0		
	Rapid Rehousing	140,648	118,289	balance to CAHP	0		
	HMIS	9,000	0	balance to CAHP			
City of Lansing	HMIS Lead	0	2,000	5,000 fr Admin	5,000	3,000 to HH	x
	Admin - 10%	189,048	17,801	(5,000) to HMIS Lead	184,048	next amend move ?? to other grants	
		1,890,483	1,135,926				
			60%				

Quest to HUD re: component changes?

CAHP

City of Lansing ESG CV - Preliminary HARA Budget

Component	Budget Line Description	Budgeted Amount	Comments	7/1/2022 Funds Availabl
PREVENTION	Financial Assistance - Rent Arrearages	276,800.00		
PREVENTION	Financial Assistance - Moving Costs	3,000.00		
PREVENTION	Financial Assistance - Unit Appl Fees	5,000.00		
PREVENTION	Financial Assistance - Security Deposit	15,000.00		
PREVENTION	Financial Assistance - Utilities	10,000.00		
PREVENTION	Financial Assistance - Landlord Incentive	15,000.00		
PREVENTION	Financial Assistance - Moving Costs	6,000.00		
PREVENTION	Financial Assistance - Case Management	19,200.00		
PREVENTION	Financial Assistance - Hazard Pay	-		
Total City ESG CV - Estimated Prevention Budget		**350,000.00**		**380,537.15**
RAPID REHOUSING	Financial Assistance - Rent Assistance	20,800.00		
RAPID REHOUSING	Financial Assistance - Security Deposit	2,000.00		
RAPID REHOUSING	Financial Assistance - Unit Appl Fees	1,000.00		
RAPID REHOUSING	Financial Assistance - Identification	1,000.00		
RAPID REHOUSING	Financial Assistance - Utilities	1,000.00		
RAPID REHOUSING	Financial Assistance - Landlord Incentive	5,000.00		
RAPID REHOUSING	Financial Assistance - Moving Costs	-		
RAPID REHOUSING	Financial Assistance - Hazard Pay	-		
RAPID REHOUSING	Financial Assistance - Case Management	19,200.00		
RAPID REHOUSING	Financial Assistance - Waitlist Mgmt	-		
Total City ESG CV - Estimated Rapid Rehousing Budget		**50,000.00**		**22,358.91**
	Administrative	-	No admin funds allocated at this time	
	HMIS	4,500.00		
Total City ESG CV -Preliminary Budget as of 2/1/22		**404,500.00**		402,896.06

The Ingham County Bar Association Presents:

Ask a Lawyer

2022

Hosted by: The Capital Area District Library

Free Legal Advice*

Date: October 20, 2022

Location:
Capital Area District Library
401 S. Capitol Ave., Lansing, MI 48933

Time: 5:00 p.m. – 7:00 p.m.

Providing citizens of our community with access to an attorney for a free consultation for up to a half hour on a variety of legal issues.

First come first served. No appointment necessary.

2022 Ask a Lawyer Co-Chairs:

Raymond A. Harris
517-853-6900

Brandon M. H. Schumacher
517-371-8255

*Free legal advice provided by practitioners for this event does not imply representation for your legal matter or create attorney/client privilege.