**Grant Applicant and Project Name:** _____

**Instructions for Scorers:**    **2022 CoC Program NOFO**    Project is: (circle or highlight one)   RENEWAL   NEW

                                                  (Score Rows 1-18)

| Category | Possible points | Notes for Scoring |
|---|---|---|
| 1. Extent to which the Project Application addresses CRHC priorities. | 0 to 6 points.<br><br>**SCORE** _____ | Maximum points for PSH/RRH Project Type/Component, Chronic Homeless or Priority Population served with greater severity of needs/high SPDAT. See CRHC Priorities and Application Q1. Target population, higher VI-SPDAT averages; |
| 2. Extent to which the Project addresses HUD/NOFO priorities and targets. Contribution to improving system-wide performance. | 0 to 12 points.<br><br>**SCORE** _____ | Maximum points for alignment with HUD Priorities. See CRHC App Q2 for **specific examples** of how the project will improve CoC outcomes – up to 2pts per outcome (8pts total); Q3 for <u>Housing First</u> approaches – up to 4 pts. |
| 3. Extent to which the project prepares participants for independent living and eventual transition from CoC project assistance to other permanent housing. | 0 to 6 points<br><br>**SCORE** _____ | Maximum points for providing specific examples of a process with objective criteria for evaluating ongoing need for assistance and readiness for housing without CoC supportive services. See CRHC App Q4 & Q5. |
| 4.  >95% of referrals accepted from the CES* – 5 pts<br>>90% of referrals accepted from CES* – 3 pts<br><89% referrals accepted from the CES* – 0 pts<br>Agency promptly and effectively notifies CES of project openings – up to 3pts | 0, 3, 5 + 3 points (8 total)<br><br>**SCORE** _____ | See CRHC App Q6 response in use of Coordinated Entry system (CES*) using scale as shown. Are efforts to communicate openings comprehensive? Responses must be specific to the project being applied for. |
| 5. The proposed project has system to engage most vulnerable populations especially chronically homeless with most severe needs per CRHC CoC policy. | 0 to 5 points<br><br>**SCORE** _____ | Maximum points for demonstrating ability to engage CH with most severe needs/vulnerable groups and solid outreach efforts. See CRHC App Q7; Consider whether outreach efforts seem appropriate for the target population and offer equitable opportunity for people in need of the proposed services. |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 6. Does the applicant describe a process for soliciting and incorporating input from individuals with lived experience of homelessness into their service delivery, decision making, and policy decisions? | 0 to 3 points<br><br><br>SCORE _____ | See CRHC App Q8 – Award up to 3 points for applicants that have a process for gathering input from people with lived experience of homelessness and document how that input has influenced policies or decisions. Award no points if no engagement efforts are identified. |
| 7. Does the applicant have strategies or policies that better equip them to meet the unique needs of: LGBTQ+ individuals; victims of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking; and the criminalization of homelessness? | 0 to 3 points<br><br><br>SCORE _____ | See CRHC App Q9 – Award up to 1 point per bullet that the applicant describes a strategy or policy they have or will employ to address the needs of the listed populations or issues. |
| 8. Consider the severity of needs and vulnerabilities of project participants and if the applicant has specific plans/practices to address those vulnerabilities. Is the applicant well-equipped to meet the needs of this population? | 0 to 8 points<br><br><br>SCORE _____ | See CRHC App Q10 - Award up to 4 points if the majority of projects participants have multiple barriers to housing (using the list in the app).<br>Award up to 4 additional points for demonstrating an effective plan to meet the needs of participants with high barriers to housing. |
| 9. Racial equity and addressing disparities among people experiencing homelessness. Does the applicant demonstrate specific actions that have been or are planned to eliminate barriers to the project? | 0 to 4 points<br><br><br>SCORE _____ | See CRHC App Q11 – award maximum points to applicants who have taken steps to address racial disparities in the community. (2 points)<br>Award maximum points if barriers are identified and if examples of steps to eliminate barriers are clearly provided. (2 points) |
| 10. Does the applicant have formal partnerships with or coordinate with local health care providers and public health agencies? | 0 to 1 points<br><br><br>SCORE _____ | See CRHC app Q 12 – award a point if the applicant describes how they partner with public health agencies or health care providers in responding to or prevent the spread of infections disease. |
| 11. Mission of the Organization and experience in providing services for which funding is being requested. | 0 to 6 points<br><br>**REALLOCATION or New Project ONLY SCORE** _____ | Experience with the services type or population to be served – 2 point; Experience with administering Federal funds – 4 point. See CRHC App Q18.<br>*Not scored for Renewals* |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 12. Project plan should describe how to work with landlords to lower barriers for clients using program design i.e., case management or other strategies to obtain quality units. | 0 to 5 points<br><br>**REALLOCATION or New Project ONLY**<br>SCORE _____ | Refers to Application Q19 and scored on scale provided. To receive maximum points applicants must describe specific steps that will be taken to assist participants to quickly identify and secure housing.<br>*Not scored for Renewals* |
| 13. Project plan design should have a supportive service plan to include mainstream resources, client choice. Design should include client income plan. | 0 to 6 points<br>**REALLOCATION or New Project ONLY**<br><br>SCORE _____ | Refers to Application Q20 and scored on scale provided. To receive maximum points applicants must identify measures and targets for the project.<br>*Not scored for Renewals* |
| 14. Implementation plan to start the project on time and have 30 day, 60 day, 120 day, and if applicable 180 day bench marks. MOUs if applicable; Estimated # of days to start housing participants? | 0 to 3 points<br>**REALLOCATION or New Project ONLY**<br><br><br>SCORE _____ | Refers to Application Q21 and scored on scale provided.<br>*Not scored for Renewals* |
| 15. Do you have a client-level database that is capable of meeting HUD's Annual Performance Reporting requirements? (see comparable database document on CRHC website for clarification as well as pgs. 7 & 8 of this document for performance measures) | Yes - 3 points<br>No – 0 points<br>**New DV-Bonus Projects ONLY**<br><br>SCORE _____ | Refers to Application Q24 and scored on scale provided.<br>*Only score for DV-Bonus applications* |
| 16. Does the applicant demonstrate specialized experience working with victims of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking? Is data provided that demonstrates a local need for additional permanent housing dedicated to survivors of domestic violence? | 0 to 4 points<br>**DV-Bonus Projects ONLY**<br><br>SCORE _____ | See CRHC App Q25. Award up to 2 points based on the applicant's history and experience working with victims of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking. Award up to 2 points if the applicant supplied local data about the need for housing assistance to this population. *Only score for DV-Bonus applications* |
| 17. What are the issues facing DV survivors in accessing local CoC permanent housing assistance programs? Support your response with local data. | 0 to 5 points<br>**DV-Bonus Projects ONLY**<br><br>SCORE _____ | Refers to Application Q27 and scored on scale provided. Maximum points for use of local data and demonstrating understanding and experience working with DV survivors.<br>*Only score for DV-Bonus applications* |

| 18. How do you address/improve safety for the DV populations you serve? | 0 to 5 points<br>**DV-Bonus Projects ONLY**<br><br>**SCORE** _____ | Refers to Application Q28 and scored on scale provided. Maximum points for demonstrating understanding of DV survivor safety issues and providing the percent of cases assisted with safety planning.<br>***Only score for DV-Bonus applications*** |
| --- | --- | --- |

HUD's HMIS Comparable Database Manual: https://files.hudexchange.info/resources/documents/HMIS-Comparable-Database-Manual.pdf

Recommended for funding:   Yes            No            Ranked by:  _____

Total Score: _____            Agency represented: _____

**Questions Scored by the Collaborative Applicant – Reviewers do not score these questions**

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 19. Amounts and sources of leveraged funds identified and match including documentation for In-kind match for the Project | 0 to 6 points. 25% cash or in-kind match required. Leverages other funds. **Scored by CA/HMIS** | CRHC App, Pg 1 - Leverage question and Part III. Budget – Match. Pg 1 Part III Maximum points for leveraging at 20% or more; 25% match including detailed plan to document in-kind match. Also match correctly applied to the budget. |
| 20.a. Past performance measures/APR data (number served, maintain or exit to PH, maintain or increase income, length of stay, return to homelessness – 5 pts each) <br> 20.b. Monitoring Criteria reviewed: 1 – participant eligibility, 2 – utilization rates, 3 – drawdown rates, and 4 – no funds recaptured; (1 pt each) | **PSH & RRH**: 0 to 19 points <br> **TH/RRH**: 0 to 22 points <br> 0 to 4 points (16.b.) <br><br> **Scored by CA/HMIS RENEWALS ONLY** | Ranked by Collaborative Applicant/HMIS for renewals only – **(details on p. 7 & 8 – Project Performance)**, Monitoring Q17 – Ranked based on CA/HMIS data & any monitoring findings. <br> *Not scored for new projects.* |
| 21. Did you house 100% or more of contracted units? <br> 95-100 % - 1 points <br> 101-105% - 2 points <br> Over 106% - 3 points | 1-3 points <br> **PSH, PH-RRH** <br> **Scored by CA/HMIS** | Renewals scored by CA/HMIS based on previous year outcomes and targets. (See p. 7 – Project Performance) New projects scored based on projected % |
| 22. Program is renewal or new program for Permanent Supportive Housing; Rapid Re-housing, Homeless Management Information System, Supportive Services Only (SSO) for Coordinated Entry System, or Transitional Housing that exclusively serves homeless youth projects – 5 points <br> Other Transitional Housing project – 3 points | 3 or 5 point <br><br><br><br><br><br> **Scored by CA/HMIS** | Project types are listed on Pg 1 of CRHC Application; Projects scored based on this scale from 2022 HUD NOFO as shown. |
| 23. There are no: a) outstanding Civil Rights matters b) financial obligations to the Federal government c) debarments or suspensions There is: code of conduct 1 pt each | 0 to 4 points <br><br> **Scored by CA/HMIS** | CA/HMIS staff performs an on-line systems check for violations, debarment or exclusions (e.g. MDCR, SAM registry) to determine score. Also App Q13 response. |
| 24. Timely reports (received by 20th of month) and HMIS data reports error free and FSR correct (cumulatively – scores averaged to nearest %) <br> Below 70% - 0 points <br> 70% to 75% - 1 points <br> 76% to 82% - 2 point <br> 83% to 91% - 4 points <br> 92 to 100% - 5 points | 0-2, 4, 5 points <br><br> **Scored by CA/HMIS RENEWALS ONLY** | Refers to Application Q15 and scored on scale provided. *Not ranked for Reallocation or New projects.* |

**Questions Scored by the Collaborative Applicant – Reviewers do not score these questions**

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 25. Findings and CAP<br>More than one Finding and there was a CAP – 0 pts.<br>More than one Finding and No CAP – 2 points<br>1 Finding and there was a CAP – 3 points<br>1 Finding and No CAP – 4 points<br>No Findings and No CAP – 6 points | 0, 2, 3, 4, 6 points<br><br>**Scored by CA/HMIS RENEWALS ONLY** | Refers to Application Q17 and scored on scale provided. ***Not ranked for Reallocation or New projects.*** |
| 26. Project cost effectiveness – CoC averages are compared based on similar type and population<br>PSH individuals<br>PSH families<br>RRH families<br>TH individuals<br>Over/Under 30% 0 points<br>Within 30% 1 point<br>Within 25% 2 points<br>Within 15% 3 points | 0 to 3 points<br><br>**Scored by CA/HMIS** | Refers to Application Q16 (renewals) & Q22 (new projects) and scored on scale provided. |
| 27. Agency has agreed to participate in CoC sponsored trainings to manage or administer the HUD grant (e.g. HMIS, HARA*, SPDAT training.) | 1 point<br><br>**Scored by CA/HMIS Reallocation or New Project Only** | See CRHC Application Q23 response. "Agree" response earns 1 point. |
| 28. Agency has identified staff or contact person who is knowledgeable on Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing requirements. | 1 point<br><br>**Scored by CA/HMIS** | See CRHC Application Q14 response. Listing of a staff person's name and phone number earns 1 point. |

\* Coordinated Entry System

Recommended for funding:   Yes            No                          Ranked by:  _____

Total Score: _____                          Agency represented: _____

**16a. Project performance and Annual Performance Report data reviewed for scoring CoC Program project applications**
Renewal applicants will be scored based on a comparison of the actual project results achieved during July 1, 2021 through June 30, 2022 with performance standards and targets set by applicants in their 2021 HUD CoC project application. The source of the performance data is HMIS data from the HUD Annual Performance Report (APR), HUD CAPER Report and HMIS BusinessObjects (BI) reports on Returns to Homelessness in HMIS. Should a renewal application be submitted by a victim-service provider that is prohibited from using HMIS the data source will be their HMIS-comparable database. Victim-service providers must submit the data necessary to calculate the following outcomes by September 30th, 2022, the due date of the local CoC application.

The performance data will be generated from ServicePoint or a comparable database on or after 09/26/2022.

This question is not applicable to projects that have not operated for at least 12 months.  The points will be subtracted from the total potential points when the scores are calculated and will not be counted for or against the applicant for projects that have not been operating for at least 12 months.

*Performance accounts for a total of 19 points for PSH and RRH and 22 for TH/RRH.  Points will be awarded as follows:*

1. **TH - The average number of clients served by the project** (includes the TH portion of TH/RRH grants)
   **Total Points = 3**

   3 points for projects that exceeded their target from the CRHC 2021 application
   2 point for projects that met their target
   1 point for projects that were within 10% of meeting their target
   0 points for projects that were below their target by greater than 10%

2. **PSH and RRH – The percent of participants that remain in or exited to permanent housing**
   **TH – The percent of participants that exited to permanent housing**
   **Total Points = 5**

   5 points for projects that had ≥90% move to permanent housing
   4 points for projects that had 85-89% move to permanent housing
   3 points for projects that had 80-84% move to permanent housing
   2 points for projects that had 75-79% move to permanent housing
   1 point for projects that had 70-74% move to permanent housing
   0 points for projects that had <70% move to permanent housing

3. **All Project Types – The percent of participants that maintained or increased income from any source**
   **Total Points = 4**

   1 points for projects with 10% of stayers increasing earned income
   1 points for projects with 10% of stayers increasing non-employment income
   1 points for projects with 10% of leavers increasing earned income
   1 points for projects with 10% of leavers increasing non-employment income

4. **Length of Stay/Length of Housing Search**

   **RRH – Participants are moved into housing within 30 days of program entry**
   **TH – Participants are moving into permanent housing with 180 days of program entry**
   **PSH – Participants are remaining housed for at least 12 months**
   **Total Points = 5**

   5 points for projects that met the standard for their project type for 100% of clients
   4 points for projects that met the standard for their project type for 95-99%of clients
   3 points for projects that met the standard for their project type for 90-94% of clients
   2 points for projects that met the standard for their project type for 85-89% of clients
   1 point for projects that met the standard for their project type for 76-84% of clients
   0 points for projects that met the standard for their project type for <75% of clients

5. **All Project Types – Recidivism – returns to homelessness within 12 months of discharge**
   **Total Points = 5**

   5 points for projects that had less than or equal to 15% of participants return to homelessness within 12 months
   2.5 points for projects that had less than or equal to 20% of participants return to homelessness within 12 months
   0 points for projects that had more than 20% of participants return to homelessness within 12 months

   **Potential points for PH-PSH, PH-RRH projects: 19**
   **Potential points for TH/RRH projects: 22**